Clinical and Radiographic Aspects of Patients with Femoroacetabular Impingement Syndrome: Is There Difference between Symptomatic and Asymptomatic Hip?
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Abstract

Objective This paper aims to compare clinical and radiographic features of symptomatic and asymptomatic hips in patients with unilateral femoroacetabular impingement syndrome (FAIS) and to establish a correlation between these findings.

Methods This is a retrospective study, based on medical records of patients diagnosed with FAIS between January 2014 and April 2017. The patients were assessed clinically as per the International Hip Outcome Tool 33 (iHOT33) questionnaire, visual analogue pain scale, hip rotation, and hip and knee muscular strength. The radiographic evaluation consisted of measurements of the alpha angle, crossover signal, acetabular retroversion index, ischial spine signal, and posterior wall sign.

Results A total of 45 patients were included in the study, with mean time from symptom onset to diagnosis of 28.6 months and mean iHOT33 score was 39.9. The mean medial rotation was 20.5° in symptomatic hip and 27.2° in asymptomatic hip (p < 0.001). The crossover signal was positive on 68.9% of the symptomatic hips and 55.6% of the asymptomatic hips (p = 0.03). The mean retroversion index was 0.15 in symptomatic hips and 0.11 in asymptomatic hips (p = 0.02). There was a positive correlation between the total time of symptoms and medial hip rotation reduction (p = 0.04) and between body mass index (BMI) and medial hip rotation reduction (p = 0.02).
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Introduction

Femoroacetabular impingement syndrome (FAIS) is defined as a clinical disorder related to hip movement and composed of a triad, that is, symptoms, clinical signs, and imaging findings. It is divided into three clinical subtypes: cam (femoral morphological change), pincer (acetabular morphological change), and mixed (a combination of both previous subtypes). In the pathophysiology of FAIS, there is an abnormal contact between the proximal end of the femur and the acetabulum during extremes of hip range of motion (ROM); due to frequent repetition, this contact can result in progressive lesions of the lip and acetabular cartilage. The condition is known as a common cause for hip pain and has gained recognition for its correlation with osteoarthritis.

The literature reports studies comparing clinical and radiographic parameters from patients with FAIS and asymptomatic controls; in addition, there are studies confronting features from dominant and non-dominant limbs. However, few papers compare symptomatic and asymptomatic limbs from patients with unilateral FAIS in order to broaden the understanding of characteristics leading to hip pain.

This study aimed to compare the clinical and radiographic features of symptomatic and asymptomatic hips from patients with unilateral FAIS and to establish a correlation between such findings.

Method

This was a retrospective, observational, clinical, self-controlled study based on medical records from a single center. These medical records belonged to patients from a single-surgeon case series (Giancarlo Cavalli Polesello - GCP) who were followed between January 2014 and April 2017. The study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee under CAAE number 63881917.8.0000.5479; this manuscript was prepared using the Strengthening the Reporting of Observational studies in Epidemiology (Strobe) checklist.

Conclusion

When comparing clinical and radiographic features, we observed reduction of medial rotation and increase of acetabular retroversion index in the symptomatic hip, as well as association between the long symptom time and the high BMI with loss of medial rotation of the hips.
Clinical and Radiographic Aspects of Patients with Femoroacetabular Impingement Syndrome

Inclusion criteria were the following: complete medical records with information on both male and female patients diagnosed with unilateral FAIS, aged between 18 and 55 years and who signed the informed consent form. Medical records from patients previously submitted to orthopedic surgeries of the spine and/or lower limbs or reporting degenerative hip osteoarthritis, villonodular synovitis, synovial chondromatosi, malignant tumors of the hip and continuous use of opioid analgesics at the time of functional tests were excluded.

Medical records of 95 patients were initially examined, and the final sample consisted of 45 patients. Data from both hips were assessed, constituting a sample of 90 hips.

The diagnosis of FAIS was confirmed by personal history, physical examination and imaging findings. Clinical tests included flexion, adduction and internal rotation (FADIR) and flexion, abduction and external rotation (FABER), whereas imaging included an anteroposterior (AP) radiograph of the pelvis with the patient standing up, a lateral radiography of the femoral neck (Dunn or Ducrot) and magnetic resonance imaging in patients with surgical indication for better lip and acetabular cartilage evaluation. In case of diagnostic doubt after all routine tests, signs and symptoms were reassessed after an intra-articular anesthetic injection. All patients were evaluated by a senior hip surgeon (> 20 years of experience) and a hip rehabilitation staff with more than 5 years of practice.

Clinical and Functional Evaluation

A standardized form was used for data extraction and clinical characterization of the sample, including gender, age (years), weight (kg), height (cm), body mass index (BMI, kg/m²), dominant limb, symptomatic limb, duration of symptoms (months), associated diseases, medications in use, and level of physical activity (►Table 1). Clinical data, including visual analogue scale (VAS) for pain, hip medial rotation (RM) and lateral rotation (RL) ROM, hip and knee muscle strength scores, quality of life questionnaire International Hip Outcome Tool 33 (iHOT33) and pelvic AP radiographic measurements (alpha angle, cross-over signal, acetabular retroversion index, posterior wall signal, and ischial spine signal), were also collected. Evaluations were performed by two physical therapists with 5 years of experience in hip rehabilitation.

Measurements of hip rotation amplitudes were performed using a universal goniometer (Carci, São Paulo, SP, Brazil) with the patient in supine position and the stabilized pelvis on the stretcher with a belt to avoid compensations. The evaluated limb was positioned in 90° hip flexion and the contralateral limb was put in extension. The goniometer axis was positioned over the center of the knee on the side to be measured, with the fixed arm parallel to the ground and the movable arm following the tibial axis during medial and lateral femoral rotation (►Figure 1). The evaluators had class correlation index (CCI) above 0.80 for hip rotation ROM assessment.

Hip and knee muscular strength were measured with a manual dynamometer MicroFet2 (Hoggan Health Industries Inc., West Jordan, UT, USA). A stabilization belt was used to avoid compensations (►Figure 2). Three isometric contrac-

Table 1 Anthropometric and analytical variables from the 45 patients included in the study sample.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>28 (62.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>17 (37.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>39.02 ± 8.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>69.63 ± 14.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>168.89 ± 9.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>24.16 ± 3.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symptoms duration (months)</td>
<td>28.64 ± 31.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VAS (0-10)</td>
<td>5.67 ± 2.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iHOT-33 (0-100)</td>
<td>39.93 ± 21.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain site</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C sign</td>
<td>22 (48.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inguinal</td>
<td>17 (37.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trochanter</td>
<td>6 (13.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dominant limb</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right</td>
<td>43 (95.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left</td>
<td>2 (4.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symptomatic limb</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right</td>
<td>29 (64.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left</td>
<td>16 (35.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAI subtype</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symptomatic</td>
<td>13 (28.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asymptomatic</td>
<td>15 (33.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cam</td>
<td>12 (26.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pincer</td>
<td>09 (20%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>20 (44.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical activity</td>
<td>18 (40%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sedentary</td>
<td>16 (35.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>29 (64.4%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: SD, standard deviation; VAS, visual analog scale; FAI, femoroacetabular impingement; iHOT-33, The International Hip Outcome Tool 33; BMI, body mass index.

tions of five seconds each were performed and averaged. The following muscle groups were evaluated: flexors, extensors, adductors, abductors, hip medial and lateral rotators, knee flexors and extensors muscles. Strength was measured in kilograms (kg) and normalized according to body mass (kg) with the formula: (strength [kg]/body mass [kg]) x 100. The evaluators had CCI above 0.80 for hip and knee muscle groups strength measurement using a manual dynamometer.

The iHOT33 score assessed FAIS-related limitations in the quality of life of our patients. This questionnaire, used in young adults with non-arthritic hips, was adapted and translated into Portuguese in 2012. Patients were allowed to fill in the form, which had 33 questions divided into four domains: symptoms and functional limitations, sports and recreational activities, job-related concerns, and social, emotional, and lifestyle concerns. These questions are evaluated by a scale with a 100 mm-long line. The patients were
instructed to answer the question by drawing a bar at the line. The final score was the sum of answers, divided by the total number of questions.

Radiological Evaluation

All patients underwent an orthostatic digital AP hip radiography with 15° internal rotation of the lower limbs, a radius centered 1 cm above the pubic symphysis, ampoule 120 cm from the chassis and a 100% magnification to evaluate the alpha angle, acetabular retroversion index, ischial spine signal, crossover signal, and posterior wall signal.\(^\text{14}\)

The alpha angle is a quantitative expression of femoral deformity. It was evaluated according the method described by Gosvig et al.,\(^\text{15}\) using as reference points the center of the femoral neck, the center of the femoral head and the beginning of the femoral deformity (\(\text{\textbullet Figure 3A}\)). The authors categorized alpha angle values in orthostatic AP hip radiographies as normal, borderline and pathological. In the present study, diagnostic criteria for cam morphology were defined as pathological alpha angle values (\(\geq 83°\) for men and \(\geq 57°\) for women).

The acetabular retroversion index (ARI) quantitatively expresses the cross-over signal, representing a radiographic sign of anterior acetabular overlay and was measured according to Diaz-Ledezma et al.,\(^\text{16}\) 2013. The ARI is the quotient between the anterior border overlap length and the lateral distance of the acetabular cavity. Values above 0.20 are related to chondral injury (\(\text{\textbullet Figure 3B}\)). The ischial spine signal, posterior wall signal and cross-over signal were described as present or absent.

The free HOROS 64-bit image viewer software for OS X was used. This software is based on OsiriX and other open source medical image libraries and is available under GNU General Public License, version 3 (GPL-3.0).

Images were evaluated by an orthopedist working in the hip surgery area for more than five years and who was blind to the patient’s name and symptomatic limb; CCI was performed in a previous study, with good correlation in all analyzed parameters.\(^\text{17}\)

Statistical Analysis

Excel Office 2017 (Microsoft Corp., Redmond, WA, USA) and SPSS 13.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) were used. Descriptive data were expressed as mean and standard deviation. Comparison between variables was made using Wilcoxon, paired Student t, McNemar, and Pearson tests. The \(p\)-value adopted as significant was 0.05.

Results

Mean medial rotation ROM was \(20.56° \pm 12.39°\) in symptomatic hips and \(27.22 \pm 12.59°\) in asymptomatic hips (\(p < 0.001\)). Mean values for lateral rotation were, respectively, \(40.89 \pm 8.2°\) and \(44.67° \pm 5.7°\) (\(p = 0.003\)).

In the muscle strength analysis, when comparing mean values from hip and knee muscle groups, symptomatic and asymptomatic limbs, there was a significant difference in hip adductors (\(p = 0.040\)) and knee flexors (\(p = 0.007\)). The remaining groups, including hip flexors (\(p = 0.915\)), hip extensors (\(p = 0.082\)), hip abductors (\(p = 0.090\)), medial hip rotators (\(p = 0.378\)), hip lateral rotators (\(p = 0.345\)) and knee extensors (\(p = 0.942\)), showed no differences (\(\text{\textbullet Figure 4}\)).

Regarding the radiographic analysis, mean alpha angle was \(74.06° \pm 7.57\) in symptomatic limbs and \(71.76° \pm 7.07\) in asymptomatic limbs (\(p = 0.080\)). For the retroversion index, values were 0.15 \(\pm 0.11\) and 0.11 \(\pm 0.11\), respectively (\(p = 0.009\)). Cross-over signal was positive in 68.9% (31 hips) symptomatic limbs and 55.6% (25 hips) asymptomatic limbs (\(p = 0.030\)). Ischial spine signal was positive in 37.8% (17 hips) both symptomatic and asymptomatic limbs (\(p = 1.000\)).
posterior wall signal was positive in 42.2% (19 hips) symptomatic limbs and 40% (18 hips) asymptomatic limbs ($p = 1.000$).

In the correlation between all parameters evaluated, a statistical significance was found between time of symptoms onset and loss of medial rotation ROM in symptomatic hips ($p = 0.040$); $r = 0.279$ and between BMI and loss of medial rotation ROM in symptomatic hips ($p = 0.020$); $r = 0.495$.

Discussion
Comparing symptomatic and asymptomatic hips, the main findings were the reduced hip medial and lateral rotation ROM, reduced hip adductor and knee flexor muscle strength, cross-over signal positivity and increased acetabular retroversion index in symptomatic hips. Regarding correlations between evaluated aspects, we found out that the time of symptoms was weakly correlated with the reduced hip RM, whereas BMI was moderately correlated with the reduced hip RM.

Measurement of hip ROM is an important element of functional assessment. There is strong evidence that loss of hip medial rotation ROM is a risk factor for intra-articular injury. A systematic review$^{18}$ indicates that a difference in medial or lateral hip rotation higher than 7° between limbs may be a marker for future injury risk. Audenaert et al.$^{19}$ found a significant reduction in RM ROM when comparing controls to individuals with FAIS in cam and pincer morphology. This change influences the quality of life and sports practice, especially in activities with increased hip flexion.

The Warwick agreement, established in 2016, guides requests for simple hip AP and femoral neck lateral radiographies to investigate morphological changes and rule out other causes of hip pain.$^1$ This study used the hip AP radiographies which allow the evaluation of femoral sphericity and signs of acetabular retroversion. Since markers for pincer signals assessment are not well established in the literature, the acetabular retroversion index, which provides quantitative information on the severity of focal overlay and may be a prognostic predictor in symptomatic patients,$^{16}$ was added to the three most used signals.$^{20}$

A 2015 systematic review evaluating radiographic variables associated with FAIS progression concluded that there is moderate evidence that the increased alpha angle is associated with disease progression and that additional markers would...
not be an influence. In this study, we found high alpha angles in both hips, with no significant difference between limbs, suggesting that factors other than femoral alteration influence symptoms development. In addition, the difference in retroversion index between limbs was clinical (26% higher in symptomatic limbs) and statistically significant and certainly a determining factor for symptoms development.

Neuromuscular inhibition of the hip is common in patients with FAIS. Deep stabilizers may be more affected due to their proximity to the injured tissue, resulting in joint and lower limb overload. A study assessing isometric muscle strength in 22 FAIS patients compared to 22 matching controls found a significant difference in hip adductor, abductor, flexor, and external rotator muscles strength in symptomatic individuals. Other researchers investigated the isometric and isokinetic strength of FAIS patients compared to controls. Based on the ratio between agonist and antagonist muscles in each group, it was concluded that individuals with FAIS have 20% of hip abductor isometric weakness and also an imbalance between lateral and medial hip rotators when compared to controls. We observed a reduction in hip adductor and knee flexor muscles strength when comparing limbs, but such difference was clinically small.

Kockara et al concluded that BMI values ≥ 25/m² may be predictors of unfavorable clinical outcomes after surgical treatment for FAIS. In our study, the increased BMI was positively correlated with the loss of RM, which may indicate a higher severity of FAIS in overweight patients. However, this change may also result from the difficulty in assessing hip medial rotation due to the accumulation of inguinal and abdominal fat/muscle mass in such patients.

The long time until diagnosis was observed in our case series and also by Kahlenberg et al., who, after evaluating 78 patients with FAIS and applying a standardized questionnaire, noted that the mean time between symptom onset and diagnosis was 32 months. This finding demonstrates the importance of appropriate assessment and timely diagnosis, since, in an attempt to relieve pain, many patients may be exposed to long-term drug treatments and incorrect interventions, leading to health risk and injury progression.

This study had some limitations, such as small sample size compared to large-center studies, hip radiological assessment only in AP views (which may not identify some cases of cam deformity that are only visible in lateral view), the non-stratification of the level of physical activity in evaluated patients and the lack of a dynamic evaluation of muscular strength. The main contribution of this study was the identification of possible factors related to pain and hip medial rotation limitation in FAIS patients. Further assessments may create new opportunities for treatment and follow-up of these patients.

**Conclusion**

The comparison of clinical and radiographic features revealed a reduction in medial rotation and an increase in the acetabular retroversion index in symptomatic hips, as well as an association between long duration of symptoms and high BMI with loss of medial rotation of the hips.
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