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Abstract

A review involving the six major international orthopedic journals has been published recently. It described the tools used for the evaluation of outcomes in the surgical treatment of recurrent anterior dislocation of the shoulder. There are no studies that exhibit the main outcome tools for this disease in Brazil. The authors evaluated the outcomes of clinical studies involving anterior glenohumeral instability that were published in the last decade in the two leading Brazilian orthopedic journals, Revista Brasileira de Ortopedia and Acta Ortopédica Brasileira. A review of the literature was performed, including all clinical papers published between 2007 and 2016 describing at least one outcome measure before and after surgical intervention. The outcomes were range of motion, muscle strength, physical examination testing, patient satisfaction, return to sports, imaging, complications, and functional outcomes scores. Twelve studies evaluating the clinical outcomes of surgical treatment for anterior shoulder instability were published. Ten studies (83%) were case series (level of evidence IV), 1 (8%) was a case-control study (III), and 1 was a retrospective cohort (III). On average, the number of outcomes assessed was 3.7 ± 1.7. The Rowe score was used in 9 studies (75%), and 7 (58%) papers used the University of California Los Angeles (UCLA) scale. Ten studies (83%) reported complications related to surgical treatment. The complication most frequently reported was recurrent instability, found in 9 studies (75%). The national studies have preferentially used scales considered to be of low reliability, responsiveness, and internal consistency.
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Introduction

The shoulder is the joint most commonly dislocated, and anterior instability is the most frequently encountered condition. It has a higher incidence in young men, and its treatment is preferably surgical. We have found numerous studies in the literature evaluating the clinical results of the surgical treatment of anterior shoulder instability. Standardized clinical assessment is essential for determining the success of a treatment and also for comparing the results of different studies, being critical for clinical research. Methods for the evaluation of orthopedic treatment outcomes have been modified in recent years. Measurement was initially based on physical examination, assessing joint mobility and muscle strength. However, questionnaires or clinical scores were developed to improve the evaluation of outcomes. However, measurement tools are widely variable. More than 40 scores assessing shoulder function are described, and there is no consensus on the best method for the evaluation of outcomes in patients undergoing surgical treatment for glenohumeral instability.

Lukenchuck et al. recently published a review involving the six major international orthopedic journals and described the tools used to assess the outcomes of the surgical treatment of recurrent anterior shoulder dislocation. There is no survey showing the main clinical evaluation tools for this condition in Brazil. A similar survey was performed for rotator cuff tears, and it showed that scales deemed reliable, with high internal consistency and good responsiveness, were rarely used in our country. We also point out that most assessment instruments were developed and evaluated in the English language. The use of these instruments in Brazil demands translation, cultural adaptation, and tests evaluating their measurement properties, such as internal consistency, reproducibility, validity, and responsiveness.

This study aims to evaluate the outcomes used in clinical studies about the surgical treatment of anterior glenohumeral instability published in the last decade in the two main Brazilian orthopedic journals.

Methods

Design

A literature review was performed in the two main Brazilian orthopedic journals, namely Revista Brasileira de Ortopedia (RBO) and Acta Ortopédica Brasileira. The period covered was one decade (January 2007–December 2016). This study was approved by the local Ethics Committee under the number 1258.

Search Strategy

Initially, all paper titles were read by one of the authors (J. H. A.) from the journal index. In cases of doubt while reading the title, the abstract was evaluated. Thus, all papers that did not involve the shoulder joint and the treatment of glenohumeral instability were excluded. Then, the abstracts were read by three authors (J. H. A., E. A. M. and F. J. S.) and, if necessary, the full text was analyzed to determine if the paper met the selection criteria. In case of disagreement in
the selection of a given paper among the three authors, its inclusion or not was consensually defined (►Fig. 1).

### Selection Criteria

All original clinical papers (randomized controlled trial, cohort, case-control, and case series) describing at least one outcome measure after the surgical treatment of anterior or glenohumeral instability were included. Case reports, surgical technique descriptions, papers on the accuracy of diagnostic methods, anatomical studies, papers involving animal or cadaveric studies, basic scientific texts or reviews were not included. Papers including patients with multidirectional or posterior glenohumeral dislocation or those evaluating the outcomes of the clinical treatment for anterior glenohumeral instability were excluded.

### Data of the Included Studies

Data regarding the study title, year and volume of publication, number of patients, mean follow-up, minimum follow-up, regular follow-up and level of evidence were tabulated. The following information on surgical treatment was collected:

- A) Open or arthroscopic surgery;
- B) Capsulolabral repair;
- C) Glenoid bone defect filling with bone grafts;
- D) Which bone graft was used, if any;
- E) Treatment of Hill-Sachs injury and technique employed.

### Outcomes

The following clinical outcomes were evaluated:

- A) Range of motion
  The plane in which the range of motion was assessed, that is, frontal flexion, elevation, abduction, lateral rotation (with the arm at the side of the body or abducted), and medial rotation (with the hand towards the back or with arm abduction) was determined. The measurement in any plane was tabulated, and evaluation in all of them was not required. Data were considered described only if presented quantitatively in the results section. Patient positioning (supine, sitting or standing) and the use of a goniometer were also evaluated.
  
  B) Muscle strength
  The plane in which the strength was evaluated, that is, frontal flexion, elevation, abduction, lateral rotation (with the arm at the side of the body or abducted), and medial rotation (with the hand towards the back or with arm abduction) was determined. The measurement in any plane was tabulated, and evaluation in all of them was not required. Data were considered described only if presented quantitatively in the results section. Patient positioning (supine, sitting or in orthostatic position) and the use of a dynamometer were also evaluated. Manual evaluation data (grading from 0–5) were also computed. Data referring to a clinical score subdomain were reported if presented individually and if the extraction of data related to muscle strength assessment was feasible.

- C) Physical Examination Tests
  The outcome of the physical examination was evaluated if it was performed and reported independently of scores and assessment questionnaires. This included shoulder tests specific for anterior instability, such as the apprehension or replacement test. Muscle strength and range of motion assessments were not recorded in this category, but rather in those aforementioned.

- D) Satisfaction
  Any data regarding patient satisfaction was surveyed, including questions regarding satisfaction with the treatment or whether the patient would recommend the
procedure to a third party or have surgery again. Data referring to a clinical score subdomain were also reported if presented individually and if the extraction of data related to patient satisfaction was feasible.

E) Return to sport or activity level before injury
Any data regarding return to sport activities or to the activity level prior to injury was researched, including objective questions about this topic or the use of scores measuring such outcome.

F) Scores and evaluation questionnaires
The functional scores and evaluation questionnaires used by the authors were evaluated. Studies using the visual analogue scale (VAS) for pain or function were also reported.

G) Complications
All complications related to the surgical treatment, such as glenohumeral instability recurrence after surgical treatment (aprehension, shoulder subluxation or dislocation), infection, stiffness, neurovascular injury, bruising, osteoarthritis, pseudarthrosis, and implant-related complications, were evaluated.

H) Imaging
The imaging methods used were: contrast-enhanced or non-contrast magnetic resonance imaging, contrast-enhanced or non-contrast-enhanced computed tomography or radiographs. The periodicity and temporality of imaging were reported. We also describe the presence or absence of data related to the acquisition and analysis of imaging exams: apparatus used, evaluators, images obtained. In case of glenoid bone defect filling with bone grafts, data regarding graft and screws positioning, as well as signs of consolidation or pseudarthrosis, graft resorption or fracture, were assessed. For capsulolabral repair surgeries, repair healing was evaluated.

Statistical Analysis
Data were descriptively presented as absolute and percentage numbers, mean values, and standard deviation.

Results
After applying the selection criteria, 12 studies evaluating the clinical results of the surgical treatment of anterior shoulder instability were included. Two (17%) studies were published in the journal Acta Ortopédica Brasileira and 10 (83%) in Revista Brasileira de Ortopedia (Table 1). In total, 733 shoulders were studied, with a mean value of 61.1 ± 73.2 shoulders per paper. The mean follow-up period, calculated by weighted average, was 35.4 months. No study had a standardized follow-up time, and 5 studies (42%) had a minimum follow-up period of more than 2 years. Ten studies (83%) were case series (level IV evidence), 1 (8%) was a case-control study (evidence III level), and 1 (8%) was a retrospective cohort study (evidence level III). There were no randomized studies or prospective cohorts.

Four studies (33%) evaluated the outcomes of the open treatment of anterior glenohumeral instability. 3 (25%) studied the Latarjet-Patte surgery, and 1 (8%) studied the labral injury repair. Eight publications (66%) employed the arthroscopic repair of anterior labral injuries, and 1 of them evaluated the outcome of this procedure associated with a Hill-Sachs lesion remplissage. On average, each study assessed 3.7 ± 1.7 outcomes (Fig. 2 and Table 2).

Range of Motion
Among the studies evaluated, 7 (58%) reported the postoperative measurement of the range of motion in any plane. Seven papers (58%) presented lateral rotation results, while 6 (50%) evaluated medial rotation and 5 (42%) studied elevation. Three papers (25%) evaluated only 2 range of motion planes and 4 (33%) presented range of motion measures on 3 planes. The methodology applied for range of motion measurement was reported in 3 (25%) papers, while the remaining did not report using a goniometer, the measurement technique or patient positioning.

Strength
Muscle strength after anterior glenohumeral instability treatment was determined in 4 studies (33%). Three papers (25%) used a quantitative measurement of muscle strength aided by a dynamometer and reported the position of the patient (orthostatic or neutral). One study (8%) evaluated only the elevation strength of the patients, while the remaining papers (25%) evaluated the strength of medial shoulder rotation. Among the 3 studies evaluating the outcomes of Latarjet-Patte surgery, 2 (66%) assessed the strength of medial shoulder rotation.

Physical Examination Testing
The patients were submitted to physical examination tests after the surgical treatment in only 4 studies (33%). Among the maneuvers described to assess anterior glenohumeral instability, the most frequently reported test was apprehension, which was included in 4 studies.

Satisfaction and Return to Sports
None of the 12 studies included assessed the satisfaction with treatment or whether the patient would recommend the procedure to a third party or have surgery again; in addition, only 2 (17%) studies reported the number of patients returning to previous sports activities, although none of them used scores to measure such outcome.

Scores and Evaluation Questionnaires
The Rowe score for instability was used in 9 studies (75%), whereas 7 studies (58%) used the University of California, Los Angeles Shoulder Rating Scale (UCLA). and 1 paper evaluated its results with the Walch-Duplay Score (Fig. 3). Seven papers (58%) employed 2 evaluation scores, 3 studies (25%) presented their results with only 1 questionnaire, and 2 papers did not use evaluation scores or questionnaires.

Complications
Ten studies (83%) reported surgery-related complications. The most frequently reported complication
was glenohumeral instability recurrence, found in the 10 studies (83%). Sixty-five out of 680 patients (9.6%) sustained shoulder instability after surgical treatment. In patients undergoing arthroscopic repair of anterior labral injuries, glenohumeral instability recur in 53 of 564 patients (9.3%). In addition, 12 of 116 patients (10.3%) submitted to the Latarjet surgery still presented shoulder instability. Among papers reporting this complication, only 5,23,27 (42%) defined which patients had postoperative apprehension, subluxation, or dislocation episodes. Other reported complications included pain, adhesive capsulitis, prominent anchors, and coracoid process pseudarthrosis.

Table 1  Publications about the surgical treatment of anterior glenohumeral instability from 2007 to 2016

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author(s)</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Journal</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Volume (issue)</th>
<th>Pages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>dos Santos et al16</td>
<td>Evaluation of isometric strength and fatty infiltration of the subscapularis in Latarjet surgery</td>
<td>Acta Ortopédica Brasileira</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>23 (3)</td>
<td>129–133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ferreira Neto et al21</td>
<td>Anterior instability of the shoulder. Retrospective study on 159 cases</td>
<td>Acta Ortopédica Brasileira</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>19 (1)</td>
<td>41–44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Godinho et al20</td>
<td>Evaluation of functional results from shoulders after arthroscopic repair of complete rotator cuff tears associated with traumatic anterior dislocation</td>
<td>Revista Brasileira de Ortopedia</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>51 (2)</td>
<td>163–168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martel et al25</td>
<td>Evaluation of postoperative results from videoarthroscopic treatment for recurrent shoulder dislocation using metal anchors</td>
<td>Revista Brasileira de Ortopedia</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>51 (1)</td>
<td>45–52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>da Silva et al26</td>
<td>Evaluation of the results and complications of the Latarjet procedure for recurrent anterior dislocation of the shoulder</td>
<td>Revista Brasileira de Ortopedia</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>50 (6)</td>
<td>652–659</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Godinho et al19</td>
<td>Bankart arthroscopic procedure: comparative study on use of double or single-thread anchors after a 2-year follow-up</td>
<td>Revista Brasileira de Ortopedia</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>50 (1)</td>
<td>94–99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miyazaki et al18</td>
<td>Evaluation of the results from arthroscopic surgical treatment for traumatic anterior shoulder instability using suturing of the lesion at the opened margin of the glenoid cavity</td>
<td>Revista Brasileira de Ortopedia</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>47 (3)</td>
<td>318–324</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miyazaki et al17</td>
<td>Assessment of the results from arthroscopic surgical treatment for traumatic anterior shoulder dislocation: first episode</td>
<td>Revista Brasileira de Ortopedia</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>47 (2)</td>
<td>222–227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>de Almeida Filho et al27</td>
<td>Functional assessment of arthroscopic repair for recurrent anterior shoulder instability</td>
<td>Revista Brasileira de Ortopedia</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>47 (2)</td>
<td>214–221</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gracitelli et al23</td>
<td>Results from filling “remplissage” arthroscopic technique for recurrent anterior shoulder dislocation</td>
<td>Revista Brasileira de Ortopedia</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>46 (6)</td>
<td>684–690</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ikemoto et al22</td>
<td>Results from Latarjet surgery for treating traumatic anterior shoulder instability associated with bone erosion in the glenoid cavity, after minimum follow-up of one year</td>
<td>Revista Brasileira de Ortopedia</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>46 (5)</td>
<td>553–560</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lech et al24</td>
<td>Integrity of the subscapularis tendon after open surgery for the treatment of anterior shoulder instability: a clinical and radiological evaluation</td>
<td>Revista Brasileira de Ortopedia</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>44 (5)</td>
<td>420–426</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2  Evaluated outcomes and their frequency

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcomes</th>
<th>n (%) of studies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Range of motion</td>
<td>7 (58)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strength</td>
<td>4 (33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical exam</td>
<td>4 (33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satisfaction</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Return to sports</td>
<td>2 (17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scores</td>
<td>10 (83)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complications</td>
<td>10 (83)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imaging</td>
<td>6 (50)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 2  Percentage distribution of the number of outcomes analyzed per study.
performed computed tomography scans. Only 1 paper evaluating surgeries with glenoid bone defect fracture. No study evaluated the healing of capsulolabral healing with signs of consolidation or pseudarthrosis, resorption, or graft fracture. No study evaluated the healing of capsulolabral repairs.

**Imaging**

Six studies performed postoperative imaging tests. The most common test was radiography, performed in 3 studies (25%). Two papers used magnetic resonance imaging and 1 study performed computed tomography scans. Only 1 paper reported the equipment used, as well as the imaging acquisition protocol. No paper informed the number of evaluators, and only 2 papers (16%) reported the timing for image acquisition. Two (66%) out of the 3 papers evaluating surgeries with glenoid bone defect filling with bone grafts had data on graft and screws positioning, as well as signs of consolidation or pseudarthrosis, resorption, or graft fracture. No study evaluated the healing of capsulolabral repairs.

**Discussion**

Relapsing anterior shoulder dislocation corresponds to approximately 8% of the consultations of a shoulder and elbow surgeon, and its treatment is preferably surgical. However, only 12 studies evaluated the clinical results of the surgical treatment of anterior relapsing shoulder dislocation between 2007 and 2016 in the 2 main Brazilian orthopedic journals, an average of 1.2 studies per year. High impact factor journals, such as Arthroscopy and the American Journal of Sports Medicine, have published an average of 2.4 and 6.6 articles per year, respectively, over the past 5 years.

The included studies had an average follow-up period of 35.4 months, and only 42% of the papers presented cases with a minimum follow-up period of 2 years. This number is lower compared to international studies that have an average follow-up period of 59.7 months. This data is extremely relevant, as we know that the main complication of the treatment of anterior glenohumeral instability is recurrence, and the follow-up time is very important in assessing this outcome. Brazilian studies also have a lower evidence level. There were no prospective randomized studies or prospective cohorts, and 83% of the papers referred to case series (level IV of evidence).

Each study evaluated an average of 3.7 outcomes, which is a higher average than that of papers regarding the results of the clinical rotator cuff repair treatment. There was also a great variability between outcomes evaluated at different studies; however, the description and measurement of postoperative complications and use of evaluation scores or questionnaires were observed in 83% of the papers. These numbers are similar to those of international studies, in which complication reports and rating scores were observed in 81% and 88% of the studies, respectively.

The outcomes of range of motion, strength, physical examination tests, and imaging were similarly reported, albeit less frequently, compared to international studies. However, the technique employed, number of evaluators, and evaluation timing were commonly not described. There was a great variability regarding the imaging modality used, as well as the parameters for radiographic outcomes evaluation, which may hinder the use of these data in systematic reviews. No study reported the patient satisfaction with treatment, and only 2 papers (17%) described the number of patients who returned to sports, a number lower than that of papers published in foreign journals.

In Brazilian studies, the most commonly used assessment questionnaires were the Rowe score for instability and the University of California Los Angeles (UCLA) shoulder rating scale, found in 75% and 58% of articles, respectively. These assessment tools have already been translated and culturally adapted to Portuguese. However, since these questionnaires have several ambiguities in their assessment items that may hamper patient response, they are not deemed ideal tools for clinical research due to innumerable inconsistencies in their validity, reliability, and responsiveness.

The UCLA score was initially developed for patients undergoing total shoulder arthroplasty. Ellman et al. were the first authors to apply this tool to assess patients with rotator cuff tears; since then, it has been used in several publications. However, it is not indicated to evaluate patients with glenohumeral dislocation, as it does not assess shoulder stability. In studies published in high-impact orthopedic journals, the Rowe score is commonly used, although at a lower frequency (46%). The second assessment questionnaire most commonly used in these studies was the Western Ontario Shoulder Instability (WOSI) index, found in 31% of the papers. The WOSI is a tool designed solely to evaluate patients with glenohumeral instability. It has good responsiveness, reliability, and internal validity. This index is also useful for detecting minimal significant differences between treatments and clinical courses. Although the WOSI questionnaire has already been translated and culturally adapted to Portuguese, we have not found studies evaluating its validity and reliability in our population.

Instability recurrence is the most frequently reported postoperative complication, and it has been found in 10 studies (83%). However, its definition in the studies included here, as well as in the orthopedic literature, is not very clear, ranging from shoulder apprehension or subluxation to postoperative dislocation episodes. The non-uniformity of this parameter hinders papers comparison, and it undermines the analysis of this outcome in literature reviews. Brazilian studies reported that 9.6% of the shoulders remained unstable after surgical
treatment. This number does not differ from those observed in other reviews, which report rates of glenohumeral instability recurrence ranging from 2 to up to 20%.29,38

Our study has some limitations. We reviewed papers form the last decade and only from two Brazilian journals. Our search strategy may have included studies by foreign authors published in Brazilian journals, but it did not include papers by Brazilian authors published in international journals. In addition, we included all types of clinical studies, so our review has a level IV evidence. However, the greater possibility of bias in lower evidence studies did not influence our results because we evaluated tools used, not the outcomes themselves.

We believe that outcome assessment methods standardization in the treatment of anterior glenohumeral instability must be encouraged. Range of motion and muscle strength assessment could be aligned to international studies, as well as outcomes such as patient satisfaction with the treatment and return to sports. The WOSI scale, already culturally adapted and translated into Portuguese, should be used in Brazilian studies. This questionnaire has greater responsiveness and reliability than the Rowe and UCLA scores. The acquisition method, evaluators and timing of postoperative imaging analysis should be reported by the authors; in addition, imaging modalities for capsulolabral repairs healing should be standardized.

Conclusion

We found only 12 clinical studies on anterior glenohumeral instability published between 2007 and 2016. The average number of outcomes evaluated per study was 3.7, and we found a great variability among them. The most commonly used scales were the Rowe score for instability and the UCLA shoulder rating scale, which were considered to have low reliability, responsiveness, and internal consistency.
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