Biomechanical Evaluation of Different Tibial Fixation Methods in the Reconstruction of the Anterolateral Ligament in Swine Bones
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Abstract

Objective The present study aims to evaluate different methods of tibial fixation in the reconstruction of the anterolateral ligament (ALL). In addition, the present paper aims to compare the effectiveness of these methods and their mechanisms of failure in swine knees.

Methods A total of 40 freshly frozen swine limbs were divided into 4 groups of 10 specimens, according to the tibial fixation technique used. In group A, the tibial fixation of the tendon graft was made through an anchor passing the graft. In group B, the tibial fixation was performed through a metal interference screw in a single bone tunnel. In group C, the tibial fixation included an anchor associated with a tendinous suture (but not with a wire crossing the tendon). In group D, two confluent bony tunnels were drilled and combined with an interference screw in one of them.

Results The lowest mean force (70.56 N) was observed in group A, and the highest mean force (244.85 N) was observed in group B; the mean values in the other 2 groups ranged from 171.68 N (group C) to 149.43 N (group D). Considering the margin of error (5%), there was a significant difference between the groups (p < 0.001).

Conclusion Fixation with an interference screw in a single tunnel bone showed the highest tensile strength among the evaluated techniques.
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Resumo

Objetivo Avaliar a força de resistência à tração de diferentes métodos de fixação tibial na reconstrução do ligamento anterolateral (LAL). Além disso, comparar os mecanismos de falha da fixação tibial dessa reconstrução em joelhos suínos.
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**Introduction**

Anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) ruptures are among the most common injuries in athletes. However, in up to 25% of the ACL reconstructions, patients report residual rotational instability, demonstrating that achieving complete axial stability with surgically isolated ACL reconstruction remains a challenge. Recently, the anterolateral ligament (ALL) has been described and recognized as a potential contributor to rotational laxity. This structure was first described by Segond in 1879 as a “pearly fibrous band evident in internal rotation” and, for more than 130 years, there were several different ALL accounts, but few actually focused on its structure. The anatomy and biomechanical properties of the ALL were recently described, showing its importance in complete function restoration in ACL reconstruction. Anterolateral ligament reconstruction is believed to reduce residual pivot-shift after an intra-articular reconstruction and thus improve postoperative knee kinematics.

Although several techniques describe ALL reconstruction, there is no gold standard for its fixation.

Anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction with hamstring autografts has a failure rate ranging from 4 to 27.3%. However, these values may be underestimated, since the exact number of failed ACL reconstructions is difficult to calculate. Similar to ACL reconstructions, a secure clamping technique is paramount to withstand graft forces in ALL reconstructions, allowing rehabilitation protocols with weight loads for an early return to sports activity.

The present study aims to analyze the tensile strength required for graft tibial insertion failure in four tibial fixation methods commonly used in anterolateral ligament reconstruction.

**Material and Methods**

The tensile force in Newtons (N) required for failure of four different fixation modes used in ALL reconstruction was biomechanically analyzed at the laboratory. A total of 40 freshly frozen swine hind limbs were divided into 4 groups of 10 specimens according to the method of tibial fixation used. In group A, the tibial fixation of the tendon graft was performed with an anchor (Hexagon) and Ultrabraid wire (Smith & Nephew, London, UK) passing through the graft. In group B, the tibial fixation was performed with a 7 × 20 mm Traumédica interference screw in 1 of them. In group C, the tibial fixation included an anchor (Hexagon) with tendon suture (but not transsecting it) and, in group D, 2 confluent bone tunnels were associated with a 7 × 20 mm Traumédica interference screw in 1 of them. All of the anatomical parts were removed from animals with ~ 100 kg and aged between eight and nine months old. None of the samples showed signs of previous lesions or of degenerative disease, and all of them had a functionally stable knee joint. The swine knees were evenly dissected. All of the peripheral knee structures were sectioned and excised, leaving only the tibia. The swine foot extensor tendons were dissected and removed to be used as tendinous grafts, standardized with 12 cm in length and 4 mm in diameter.

**Surgical Technique**

After removing all muscles, ligaments, and joint capsules from the swine knees, the tibias were prepared for the test. The digital extensor tendons were dissected and extracted from the parts; next, all of the samples were stored at -20°C for between 24 and 72 hours after thawing. All of the tests were performed between 24 and 72 hours after thawing. Prior to the assay, each sample was thawed overnight at 4°C. The tests were performed with 7 cm of weight loads for an early return to sports activity. The width of each tibial plateau was measured to standardize the samples.
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**Métodos** Foram usados 40 membros recém-congelados de suínos, divididos em quatro grupos de dez espécimes, conforme as técnicas de fixação tibial usadas. No grupo A, a fixação tibial do enxerto tendíneo foi feita por meio de uma âncora e seu fio transpassou o enxerto. No grupo B, a fixação tibial foi feita por meio de parafuso de interferência metálico em túnel ósseo único. No grupo C, a fixação tibial incluiu uma âncora associada à sutura de ponto sobre o tendão (sem a presença de fio que transpassasse o tendão) e, no grupo D, foram usados dois túneis ósseos confluentes associados a um parafuso de interferência em um dos túneis.

**Resultados** A força média menos elevada (70,56 N) ocorreu no grupo A e a mais elevada (244,85 N), no grupo B; as médias dos outros dois grupos variaram entre 171,68N (grupo C) e 149,43 N (Grupo D). Considerando-se a margem de erro fixada (5%), foi observada diferença significativa entre os grupos (p < 0,001).

**Conclusão** A fixação com parafuso de interferência em túnel ósseo único apresentou a maior força de resistência à tração dentre as técnicas avaliadas.
Sample Preparation
After the preparation of the sample, the graft was fixed to the tibia according to the method ascribed to each group.

Group A
Tendon graft fixed with a 5 mm anchor (Hexagon) and Ultrabraid suture passing through the part, with 5 surgical knots\(^{19}\) (Pauchet technique) (►Fig. 4A).

Group B
Tendon graft fixed with a 7\(\times\)20 mm Traumédica metal interference screw into a 6\(\times\)30 mm bone tunnel at mid-distance between the fibular head and the Gerdy tubercle (►Fig. 4B).

Group C
Tendon graft fixed with a 5 mm anchor and 5 surgical knots\(^{19}\) with Ultrabraid wire – Pauchet technique – and suture over the folded tendon (cavalier). Two “arms” were formed, 1 with 8 cm (posterior) fixed at the load cell, and another with 4 cm (anterior), in which a proximal suture was made and again fixed at the load cell, constituting the double graft described by Sonnery-Cottet et al\(^{20}\) (►Fig. 4C).

Group D
Two bone tunnels were prepared with a 6\(\times\)30 mm drill at 90° angles from each other for communication between them. The tendon was passed through the tunnels, resulting in a graft with two “arms.” The graft arm in the 8 cm posterior tunnel was fixed with a 7\(\times\)20 mm metal interference screw, and the graft arm in the 4 cm anterior tunnel was fixed with a proximal suture and attached to the load cell, constituting the double graft described by Sonnery-Cottet et al\(^{20}\) (►Fig. 4D).

Biomechanical Tests
The groups were submitted to a tensile biomechanical test with an UPM 200 Universal Tensile Testing Machine with a capacity of 200N and 20T (Schenck, Passo Fundo, RS, Brazil)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Standard deviation</th>
<th>Coefficient of variation (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>66.70</td>
<td>2.41</td>
<td>3.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>65.90</td>
<td>2.85</td>
<td>4.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>66.90</td>
<td>3.84</td>
<td>5.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>67.90</td>
<td>2.38</td>
<td>3.51</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
with a 20 kgf load cell (9 USB (HBM, Inc. Marlborough, MA, USA), and speed of 60 mm/minute. The strength parameters were recorded by a computer with a Spider 8 software system (HBM, Inc. Marlborough, MA, USA) complemented with a Catman Easy 3.1 data acquisition amplifier (HBM, Inc. Marlborough, MA, USA) using the acquisition routines provided by the manufacturer.

The tibias were positioned beneath the load cell attached to the machine by a tubular support secured by a bone screw (Fig. 5). The tendon was fixed as a graft in the proximal tibial region as previously described, so that ~ 4 cm of tendon remained free and 4 cm were attached to the load cell; the tibial axis was visually aligned with the axis of the machine. During the assembly, the tubular support was fixed to the base of the machine with a bench vise, allowing only the proximal displacement of the tendon, which was attached to the moving element of the machine. The test was performed with the tibia in ~ 30° of flexion, simulating the ALL tensile angle.

The evaluated parameters were the following: maximum limit tensile strength of the different types of ligament fixation, expressed in Newtons; and failure modes. Failures were defined by a sudden drop at the graph curve (N) during testing. The test was then discontinued, and the graph was preliminarily analyzed.

The present study was approved by the Ethics Committee of our University regarding researches with animals under the number CEUA 039/2016.

Statistical Analysis
Data were expressed as mean, standard deviation (SD) and coefficient of variation (CV) for numerical variables, and as absolute frequencies for the categorical variable.

The sample size was determined from data from previous studies.9,21,22 The tensile strength was compared between groups with an F test (analysis of variance [ANOVA]) with multiple size comparisons. Data normality and equality of variances hypothesis were respectively determined by the
Shapiro-Wilk and by the Levene tests, and size comparisons were used due to the rejection of equality of variances between the groups.\textsuperscript{22,23}

The margin of error used in the statistical test decision was 5.0%. Data was entered in an Excel (Microsoft Corporation, Redmond, WA, USA) spreadsheet, and the statistical calculations were performed with IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 23.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA).\textsuperscript{22,23}

**Results**

- **Table 1** shows the statistical data of the plateau width from each group, highlighting a very small variability.

  The maximum CV was 5.74%, evidencing the high homogeneity of tibia size in each group.

- **Table 2** shows the average strength in each group. For the fixed margin of error (5%), there was a significant difference between the groups ($p < 0.001$), whereas multiple comparison tests (between group pairs) demonstrated significant differences, except for groups C and D.

  The variability expressed by the CV was reasonably elevated in group D, being $> 50\%$, and it was deemed reduced in the remaining groups, which presented values $< 33.3\%$.

- **Table 3** presents the types of failures in each group. The most frequent were: nine cases of failure by graft rupture in group A; eight cases of tibial tunnel graft slip in group B; seven cases of second arm proximal knot loosening in group C; and six cases of tibial cortical breakage in group D.

**Discussion**

The models were submitted to biomechanical tests to allow the direct comparison of different techniques and fixation devices of the substitute ALL graft in swine tibias.

The fixation with interference screws in a single bone tunnel had the highest tensile strength for ALL reconstruction, being adequate to the human native ligament requirement as shown by Helito et al.,\textsuperscript{24} who demonstrated the ALL biomechanics resistance with a maximum strength of 204.8 N and an average stiffness of 41.9 N/mm. These results allow the selection of suitable grafts and fixation methods for possible ALL reconstructions associated with ACL reconstructions.\textsuperscript{24} This suggests that the single bands of all grafts, both autologous or homologous, commonly used in the clinical practice for knee ligament reconstruction, have the required biomechanical resistance features,\textsuperscript{24} emphasizing the importance of “sufficient” fixation methods.

The attachment of soft tissues, such as ligaments, to bone is routinely used in orthopedic surgery and sports medicine.\textsuperscript{25,26} Fixation with interference screws provides a tight fit between the bone, the graft/tendon and the screw, being frequently used to attach replacement ligaments in drilled tunnels for ligament reconstruction. Suture anchors are used in surgical procedures when tissue attachment to a bone surface is required.\textsuperscript{26} The ideal method should provide adequate mechanical fixation and strength equal to or greater than those occurring in rehabilitation before tendon graft integration to the bone.\textsuperscript{25,26}

In groups A and C, using anchors as the fixation method, the transtendinous suture was shown to weaken the graft, making it more vulnerable to lower tensile loads. This was exemplified by the mean inferiority of group A (70 N) compared with group C (149 N). However, anchors allow direct implantation (with no need for drilling, threading or predrilling) with a self-insertion tip, in addition to improving and tensioning individual sutures. Suture anchors produce tension throughout the tendon-bone interface. The size and positioning of the implant on cortical or spongy bone determines the strength of the fixation.\textsuperscript{21} Barber et al.\textsuperscript{27} concluded that some more recent suture anchors showed significant improvements in load-to-failure values when compared to braided polyester sutures. Therefore, it is observed that the suture material also influences the failure mode.\textsuperscript{27}

In the present study, the fixation in groups B and D was performed with interference screws. In group B, only one bone tunnel was made; in group D, two divergent tunnels were prepared and communicated to the graft passage, as reported. Group B presented a higher tensile strength compared to the other groups, whereas group D showed early failure in all of the samples, such as cortical breakage and a tensile strength discrepancy with a reasonably high CV. Therefore, we conclude that this surgical technique is not reproducible in swine bones, requiring other tests in human

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3 Failure type per group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Failure type</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graft rupture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knot loosening</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tibial tunnel graft slips</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second arm proximal knot loosening</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distal knot loosening</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anchor loosening</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cortical rupture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Femoral fixation loosening</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Significant difference at 5%. ° Per F test (Anova) with comparison per size test. Distinct subscribed letters indicate significant differences between corresponding groups.
bones. The failure patterns (Table 3) resulting from the tensile strength in each group show that the failure mechanism was variable, depending on the fixation method, with one type of failure predominating in each group.

A potential bias of our study was the use of swine knees. Nurmi et al. reported that the trabecular bone density of the pig tibia was significantly higher compared with the human tibia at a peripheral computed tomography (CT) quantitative scanning. Despite this, swine tibias were often used in similar experimental studies (mainly for ACL reconstructions), and no significant differences were found regarding the use of interference screws for graft fixation in pigs and humans. In a previous study about interference screw graft fixation in swine and human bones, the maximum differences in tensile strength were insignificant. Direct comparisons among human specimens are challenging due to the difficulty of controlling factors such as differences in donor age and in bone density. Therefore, we have decided to use swine bones, allowing us to control these factors.

Another potential bias relates to the location of the ALL, visually determined by the surgeon while building the parts. The tension was linear instead of rotational, as in humans, and slow, rather than fast, as in sprains.

In other studies, the tibial ALL fixation point was also constant and slightly posterior to midway between the Gerdy tubercle and the fibular head. This fixation may be associated with a Segond fracture, an anterotalibial bone avulsion found in about 9% of the patients with ACL ruptures. The present study involved the zero-time biomechanical test in immediate postoperative conditions. Therefore, no histological comparison was possible. Lastly, neither the graft slippage measurement to reduce error, nor intra- or interobserver reliability tests were performed.

Conclusion

Fixation with an interference screw in a single bone tunnel had the highest tensile strength among the evaluated techniques. The converging tunnels in swine tibia were not reproducible, requiring additional tests in human bones for further evaluation.
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