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ABSTRACT
Objectives: To evaluate and compare bone tunnel positioning in anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) reconstruction surgery using the arthroscopic technique and the open technique consisting of arthrotomy. Method: A comparative retrospective study on 70 patients with ACL lesions was conducted. Thirty-five patients underwent ACL reconstruction by means of the open technique and 35 by means of the arthroscopic technique using an anteromedial portal. All the patients underwent ACL reconstruction using an autologous graft from the middle third of the patellar tendon, fixed using interference screws. The postoperative radiographs were reviewed and the positioning of the femoral tunnel was evaluated using the methods proposed by Harner et al. and Aglietti et al., while the tibial tunnel was assessed using the method proposed by Rauschning and Stäubli. Results: Fifty-four of the patients were male and 16 were female. Their mean age at the time of the procedure was 34 years and 3 months, with a range from 17 to 58 years. The arthroscopic technique was shown to be more accurate than the open technique for positioning both the femoral and the tibial bone tunnels. Conclusions: Radiological analysis on the knees subjected to ACL reconstruction showed that the positioning of both the femoral and the tibial bone tunnels presented less variation when the surgery was performed arthroscopically.
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INTRODUCTION
The exact incidence of lesions of the anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) is unknown. However, it has been estimated that 200,000 new lesions occur every year. Approximately 100,000 reconstructions are performed every year in the United States(1).

Currently, ACL reconstruction surgery has become one of the most popular knee surgery procedures. The mean success rate is 90% in relation to restoration of knee stability and patient satisfaction(2-5). The position of the bone tunnels, and consequently the graft, is considered to be one of the most important factors relating to successful reconstruction(5-8). Correct positioning of the bone tunnels is considered to be the most important intraoperative variable, and is directly influenced by the surgeon(9). The positioning of the bone tunnels has a fundamental role in the tensional behavior of the neoligament during flexion-extension.
A comparative retrospective study was conducted on 70 patients who underwent ACL reconstruction surgery, selected randomly from the institution’s database. Of these, 35 patients underwent ACL reconstruction using the open route and 35 arthroscopically. All of the patients were operated by two experienced surgeons at the Institute of Orthopedics and Traumatology, Passo Fundo, RS. Each surgeon was responsible for half of the operations in each group. The minimum follow-up was taken to be two years. The patients selected were operated between March 1995 and December 2007, in order to also seek out patients operated using the open technique. The patients’ postoperative radiographs were reviewed and the positioning of the bone tunnels was assessed. The positioning of the femoral tunnel was assessed using the methods described by Harner et al(16) and Aglietti et al(17). The tibial tunnel was assessed using the method described by Rauschning and Stäubli(18).

The method described by Harner et al(16) evaluates the positioning of the femoral tunnel on lateral-view radiographs using measurements of the length of the Blumensaat line as the reference (Figure 1). On the other hand, the method described by Aglietti et al(17) evaluates the positioning of the femoral tunnel based on the anteroposterior length of the distal femur, measured at the level of the Blumensaat line (Figure 2). To analyze the tibial tunnels, the method described by Rauschning and Stäubli(18) was used (Figure 3). In this, the positioning is evaluated in relation to the anteroposterior length (sagittal diameter) of the tibial plateau. Several methods for measuring the positioning of the tunnels in reconstruction surgery have been described(8,16,17). Among these, the methods of Harner et al(16) and Aglietti et al(17) for the femoral tunnel and the method of Stäubli and Rauschning(18) for the tibial tunnel are greatly used.

The present study had the aim of evaluating and comparing the positioning of the bone tunnels in a series of patients who underwent ACL reconstruction surgery using the arthroscopic technique or the open technique with arthrotomy.
SURGICAL TECHNIQUES

In both techniques, the patients underwent rachi-anesthesia and were positioned in horizontal dorsal decubitus with a pneumatic tourniquet inflated on the proximal third of the thigh. During the ACL reconstruction, the hip remained flexed at 45° and the knee remained flexed at approximately 90°.

ACL reconstruction using open technique

With the knee flexed at 90°, a medial parapatellar incision of approximately 8 cm was made, with subsequent medial parapatellar arthrotomy, in line with the skin incision. The remaining stumps of the ACL were identified and resected. The location of the ACL insertion in the femur was determined by identifying the remains of the native insertion and, with the knee flexed at 120°, a femoral tunnel of approximately 10 x 30 mm was made without using a guide. A tibial tunnel of 10 mm in diameter was made, starting at a point 2 cm inferiorly to the joint surface and 2 cm medially to the anterior tuberosity of the tibia. A tibial guide angled at 45°-50° was directed towards the center of the tibial insertion of the ACL. The graft was then passed through the bone tunnels and fixed using titanium interference screws.

ACL reconstruction using arthroscopic technique

With the knee flexed at 90°, a median longitudinal incision of approximately 8 cm was made in the middle region of the patellar tendon. Through this incision, a free graft of approximate width 10 mm was harvested from the central third of the patellar tendon (bone-tendon-bone). Anteromedial and anterolateral portals were made through the same skin incision used for removing the graft. By means of videoarthroscopy, the anatomical insertion locations of the ACL in the femur and tibia were determined and marked out. A femoral guide with an offset of 7 mm was introduced through the anteromedial portal and positioned more anatomically at the sites previously determined as the locations of the femoral insertion of the ACL. A femoral tunnel of dimensions 10 x 30 mm was then made in the knee while it was flexed at 120°. A tibial tunnel of diameter 10 mm was then made, starting at a point 2 cm inferiorly to the joint surface and 2 cm medially to the anterior tuberosity of the tibia. The graft was then passed through the bone tunnels and was fixed using titanium interference screws.

After radiological analysis on the postoperative images and measurement of the positioning of the tunnels, the data were analyzed statistically using the F test of analysis of variance.

RESULTS

There were 54 male patients and 16 female patients. Their mean age at the time of the procedure was 34 years and 3 months, with a range from 17 to 58 years.

The results relating to the positions of the femoral and tibial tunnels using the two techniques are shown in Tables 1 to 3. Evaluation of the results using the method of Aglietti et al. (17) showed that the mean positioning with the arthroscopic technique was 75.46% (± standard deviation 6.14) and with the open technique, 66.40% (± 8.70). Evaluation of the tunnel positioning using the method of Harner et al. (16), sho-
Analysis on the radiographs of the tibia by means of the method of Rauschning and Stäubli(18) showed that the mean positioning of the tunnel using the arthroscopic technique was 22 mm (± standard deviation 2.35) and using the open technique, 21.21 (± 2.96). Regarding the measurement as a percentage of the anteroposterior length of the tibial plateau, the arthroscopic technique presented a mean of 39.31% (± 3.48) and the mean for the open technique was 36.69% (± 5.00).

In relation to the position of the tibial tunnel, it was observed that when the position of the tibial tunnel measured in millimeters from the anterior margin of the tibial plateau was evaluated, there was no significant difference between the two techniques (p > 0.05). In relation to the position of the tibial tunnel measured as a percentage of the length of the tibial plate, it was observed that there was a significant difference in the mean positioning between the two techniques (p < 0.05).

**DISCUSSION**

Correct positioning of the tibial and femoral tunnels is one of the crucial points for achieving good results from ACL reconstruction(19). Studies have demonstrated that incorrect positioning of the tunnels in the coronal and sagittal planes causes complications that modify the clinical results(6,7,12,16,17,20), as well as being the commonest cause of lack of success in ACL reconstructions(21).

Several methods are currently used for assessing the positioning of the bone tunnels during or after ACL reconstruction. Traditionally, the methods of Harner et al(16) and Aglietti et al(17) have been used to assess femoral tunnel positioning on lateral-view radiographs, even though the inter-observer differences are statistically significant(8,16,17). Other authors have used the position of the interference screw and methods for identifying areas that are isometric, as references for assessing the tunnel positions(22). This variety of methods makes comparisons difficult between the various studies that exist in the literature, because of the nonexistence of any method for which unanimity has been achieved.

Good et al(23) studied the radiological location of the native ACL in cadavers and determined that the

---

**Table 1 – Position of the femoral tunnel on the lateral-view radiograph of the knee.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Technique</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Standard deviation</th>
<th>Standard error</th>
<th>95% confidence interval for mean Lower limit</th>
<th>Upper limit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aglietti position (%)</td>
<td>Arthroscopic</td>
<td>75.46</td>
<td>6.137</td>
<td>1.037</td>
<td>73.35</td>
<td>77.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Open</td>
<td>66.40</td>
<td>8.698</td>
<td>1.470</td>
<td>63.41</td>
<td>69.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harner position (%)</td>
<td>Arthroscopic</td>
<td>83.09</td>
<td>4.780</td>
<td>0.808</td>
<td>81.44</td>
<td>84.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Open</td>
<td>74.97</td>
<td>11.060</td>
<td>7.869</td>
<td>71.17</td>
<td>78.77</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2 - Position of the tibial tunnel (mm) on the lateral-view radiograph of the knee.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lateral-view radiograph of the knee</th>
<th>Technique</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Standard deviation</th>
<th>Standard error</th>
<th>95% confidence interval for mean Lower limit</th>
<th>Upper limit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Position of tunnel mm)</td>
<td>Arthroscopic</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>2.351</td>
<td>0.397</td>
<td>21.19</td>
<td>22.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Open</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>21.31</td>
<td>2.958</td>
<td>0.500</td>
<td>20.30</td>
<td>22.33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3 - Position of the tibial tunnel (%) on the lateral-view radiograph of the knee.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lateral-view radiograph of the knee</th>
<th>Technique</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Standard deviation</th>
<th>Standard error</th>
<th>95% confidence interval for mean Lower limit</th>
<th>Upper limit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Position of tunnel (%)</td>
<td>Arthroscopic</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>39.31</td>
<td>3.479</td>
<td>0.588</td>
<td>38.12</td>
<td>40.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Open</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>36.69</td>
<td>4.999</td>
<td>0.845</td>
<td>34.97</td>
<td>38.40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
center of origin of the ligament in the femur was seen on lateral-view radiographs at a mean of 66% of the anterior limit of the Blumensaat line, and that the tibial insertion was located at the boundary between the anterior and middle thirds of the tibial plateau. Also using lateral-view radiographs, Khalfayan et al\(^6\) observed that there was a significant relationship between the orientation of the tunnels and the final clinical result from the reconstruction.

When the femoral tunnel is analyzed, positioning that is more vertical in the coronal plane may cause the graft to impact on the lateral part of the posterior cruciate ligament, thus generating loss of flexion and also increasing the anterior weakness\(^{12,24}\). The methods used in the present study only assessed the positioning in the sagittal plane. Anteriorized positioning of the femoral tunnel in the sagittal plane causes excessive tension in the graft, thereby leading to deterioration of the biomechanical properties of the graft and leading to failure of the fixation and recurrent instability\(^{12,24-26}\).

According to Aglietti et al\(^{17}\), the femoral tunnel should be positioned at 65% of the sagittal diameter of the distal femur. According to Harner et al\(^{16}\), the ideal positioning of the femoral tunnel should be at approximately 80% of the anteroposterior length of the Blumensaat line.

In relation to the tibial tunnel, positioning that is greatly anteriorized in the sagittal plane causes the graft to impact against the intercondylar roof, thereby generating limitation of extension, increased anterior translation, joint effusion and anterior pain in the knee\(^{12,27-30}\). On the other hand, positioning of the tunnel in a very posterior region of the tibial plateau is associated with a greater graft tear rate and greater loss of flexion\(^{31}\).

In the sagittal plane, Stäubli and Rauschning\(^{18}\) observed that tibial tunnel locations at 43 ± 4% of the anteroposterior length of the tibia or at a distance of 20 to 23.7 mm measured from the anterior margin of the tibia were related to better clinical scores. Lintner et al\(^{32}\) examined knees from cadavers and concluded that the center of the ACL insertion in the tibia was at around 40% of the anteroposterior diameter, from its anterior margin. Pinczewski et al\(^{31}\) highlighted the correlation between the placement of the tunnels and the clinical result after reconstruction and recommended that, in the sagittal plane, the tibial tunnel should be placed at around 48% of the anteroposterior length of the tibial plateau.

Andrade et al\(^{33}\) compared the position of the femoral isometric point in ten cases operated arthroscopically and in ten cases operated using the open method with a single anterior incision in accordance with the criteria of Melhorn & Henning. These authors did not find any statistically significant difference, but recommended the arthroscopic technique as safer for determining the femoral isometric point.

In the present study, using the anteromedial portal, the analyses in Tables 1 to 3 show that the results found were close to those in the literature. However, a difference between the two techniques was found regarding the positioning of the femoral tunnel, such that the arthroscopic method showed greater precision for positioning the tunnel, and this difference was statistically significant.

In relation to the tibial tunnel, we also observed differences between the two techniques, but with divergent results regarding statistical significance, according to the type of measurement used. When absolute measurements in millimeters were used, there was no statistically significant difference between the techniques, despite the greater precision of the arthroscopic technique. On the other hand, when relative values were used, i.e. the percentage of the total length of the tibial plateau, the arthroscopic technique was also shown to be more precise and it came closer to the recommendations of Stäubli and Rauschning\(^{18}\). In this case, there was statistical significance between the two techniques. In relation to both the femoral tunnel and the tibial tunnel, there was a tendency to construct the bone tunnel more anteriorly in the sagittal plane when the open technique was used.

**CONCLUSION**

Through radiological analysis on knees that underwent ACL reconstruction, it was observed that the positioning of the bone tunnels (both femoral and tibial) was done in a more precise manner when the surgery was performed arthroscopically, in comparison with the open route.
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