FEMORAL ROLL BACK IN TOTAL KNEE ARTHROPLASTY: COMPARISON BETWEEN PROSTHESES THAT PRESERVE AND SACRIFICE THE POSTERIOR CRUCIATE LIGAMENT

ABSTRACT

Objective: To compare the rollback of the contact point between the femoral component and the tibial polyethylene as the knee is flexed, in two types of total knee arthroplasty: one that sacrifices and the other that preserves the posterior cruciate ligament (PCL). Methods: Under fluoroscopy, 36 knees from 32 patients who underwent total knee arthroplasty were evaluated. Using lateral images, the contact points between the femur and the tibial polyethylene with the knee in complete extension and at 90° of flexion were measured, thereby measuring the percentage rollback of the femur in arthroplasties in which the PCL was sacrificed and in those in which it was preserved. Results: The mean percentage rollback of the femur was 13.24% in the cases in which the PCL was sacrificed and 5.75% in the cases in which it was preserved. The difference between these measurements was statistically significant (p = 0.026615). Conclusion: In total knee arthroplasty, sacrificing the PCL increased the rollback of the contact point between the femur and tibia as the knee was flexed up to 90°.
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INTRODUCTION

Total knee arthroplasty (TKA) is one of the most successful procedures in the history of orthopedic surgery(1). Its durability is based on the quality and resistance of the polyethylene and the way in which the femoral component transmits the load onto the polyethylene(2). For this load to be distributed better, prostheses are designed such that they attempt to reproduce the backward rolling action (rollback) of the femur on the tibia as the knee is bent (a movement in which rotation is associated with anterior translation of the tibia in relation to the femur). Theoretically, the more posteriorly that the load from the femur is borne on the tibia (when the knee is flexed), the better the load distribution is and the greater the durability of the implant and the polyethylene will be(2).

The objective of this study was to compare the rollback of the contact point between the femoral component and the tibial polyethylene as the knee is flexed, in two types of total knee arthroplasty: one in which the posterior cruciate ligament (PCL) is sacrificed and the other in which it is preserved.

METHODS

Thirty-six knees from 32 patients who underwent TKA performed by the authors at Hospital Madre Teresa, in Belo Horizonte, were analyzed under radioscopy. In all the cases, the Nex Gen® prosthesis...
This study was approved by the institution’s research ethics committee, and written consent was obtained from all the participants.

RESULTS

Among the 36 knees operated, 19 were from the right leg and 17 from the left leg; 30 operations were done on female patients and six on male patients. Among the arthroplasty procedures analyzed, the PCL was sacrificed in 23 cases and preserved in 13 cases. The minimum follow-up on the patients was 12 months.

The percentage rollback of the femur in relation to the tibial plateau in the preserved PCL group ranged from -5.6% (i.e. anteriorization) to 18.2% (i.e. posteriorization), with a mean rollback of 5.7538% and standard deviation of 6.5448.

In the sacrificed PCL group, the percentage rollback ranged from -8.3% (anteriorization) to 27.2% (posteriorization), with a mean of 13.2435% and standard deviation of 10.520.

The difference between the means was shown to be statistically significant, with $p = 0.026615$.

DISCUSSION

The term “rollback” has been questioned by some authors regarding its appropriateness for correctly expressing what really happens in the human knee during flexion\(^5\). The medial tibial plateau barely moves, while the lateral plateau undergoes significant posterior translation. In the opposite direction, the latter plateau undergoes anterior translation during extension, in a coordinated action called a “screw home” movement\(^4\).

In most implants for knees undergoing total arthroplasty, the femoral condyles and tibial plateaus are equal, making flexion and translation simultaneously as shown in Figure 2. Shifting the contact point (from anterior to posterior) not only provides greater range of motion but also changes the load distribution, which may contribute towards increasing the durability of the arthroplasty\(^5\).

Despite this theoretical advantage, the benefit has not been documented. Some authors have even questioned whether this movement really exists\(^6\). Most et al\(^7\) questioned whether a PCL that had been altered

Figure 1 – Image showing determination of the point of peak load-bearing in extension and flexion, for calculating the rollback rate.
through an arthrotic process would have the capacity to put this translation into effect. They argued that arthroplasty with sacrificing of the PCL would allow posterior translation to be made more effectively, which would contribute towards increasing the durability of the prosthesis through better load distribution. Their findings relating to posterior translation coincide with those of the present study, although the findings relating to durability are not confirmed by the literature, given that the durability is shown in the literature to be similar between the two types of prosthesis\(^{(3,7)}\).

Pinskerova et al\(^{(6)}\) argued that there are differences in the posterior translation of the contact point between the femoral and tibial components according to the angle of knee flexion. They observed that there was no translation before reaching 30° and that translation really became important between 70° and 120°. In the present study, 90° was used as the measurement point, since this was the minimum angle common to all the knees, thus standardizing the evaluations.

Li et al\(^{(8)}\) questioned the analytical capacity of radioscopy to make an effective assessment of load distribution in the knee after arthroplasty, and argued that such analysis would only have some value if it was done under loading. Nonetheless, despite these considerations, they found results that were similar to those seen in the present study, regarding posterior translation of the load-bearing point of the femoral component.

Although there is a theoretical advantage relating to the possibility of greater durability of the implants when the PCL is sacrificed, the short follow-up of the present study did not allow conclusions to be reached in this regard. The fact that the cases were not chosen randomly means that any such conclusions would also not be reliable.

**CONCLUSION**

In total knee arthroplasty, sacrificing the PCL increased the rollback of the contact point between the femur and tibia as the knee was flexed up to 90°.