INTRODUCTION

Arthroscopy is a surgical method that makes it possible to approach different joints in a minimally invasive manner and thus to diminish the morbidity caused by major procedures and enable early hospital discharge and shorter rehabilitation periods. Today, arthroscopic surgery is the orthopedic surgical procedure most commonly performed in the United States(1). Hip arthroscopy is indicated as a diagnostic and therapeutic method, and its indications include labral lesion, removal of free bodies from inside the joint and femoral-acetabular impaction, among others(2-5).

Reviews in the literature have cited variable complication rates. Some authors have cited rates ranging from 0.5 to 5%/3), while others have mentioned a range from 0.5 to 6.4%/3). Most studies have attributed the complications mainly to joint traction.(4,5).

The aims of the present study were to determine the prevalence of complications in different arthroscopic procedures that are performed by means of hip arthroscopy, through a retrospective case series study on consecutive cases; to assess the evolution of our sample through a learning curve; and to recognize the causes of these complications.

METHODS

This was a retrospective study on a series of consecutive cases that included 150 patients who underwent hip surgery by means of arthroscopy between May 2004 and December 2008, regardless of the indication for such surgery. Among these patients, 69 (46%) were male and 81 (54%) were female. The patients' mean age was 37.25 years (range: 12 to 58 years). Regarding the hip side that underwent the surgical procedure, the right
hip was more prevalent, accounting for 84 cases (56%),
while the left hip was operated in 63 cases (42%); three
patients (2%) underwent bilateral hip arthroscopy.

All of the patients were evaluated before and after
the operation by the same observer, who carried out the
surgical procedure.

All of the patients underwent general anesthesia.
The position used for our sample was dorsal decubi-
tus, in which the perineal post was positioned against
the medial region of the thigh corresponding to the
hip that underwent the surgical procedure, in order to
generate a force vector of lateral direction. The hip
that was to be operated was positioned with internal
rotation of 20° and flexion of 10°, and adduction force
was applied. The contralateral limb was positioned and
placed under sufficient traction for the patient to be in
equilibrium on the surgical table.

After positioning the patient, the limb that was to
undergo the surgical procedure was placed under trac-
tion, with the aim of obtaining an increase in the intra-
articular space, until the vacuum sign was apparent
on radioscopy. Following this, distension of the joint
capsule was induced by means of injecting saline so-
lution (around 10 to 20 ml), using needle puncture.
Throughout the procedure, we used a saline solution
infusion pump at an initial pressure of 60 mmHg and
maximum flow, in order to maintain the distension of
the intra-articular space.

The hip arthroscopic procedure commonly used by
our team involves four access ports, as described by
Byrd[6]: anterior, anterolateral, posterolateral and in-
termediate. However, in most of these cases, we only
used two ports (anterior and anterolateral).

The data obtained in relation to complications from
hip arthroscopy were analyzed in three ways: 1) type
of complication (neurological, osteoarticular, vascular-
ischemic and cutaneous); 2) severity, as described by
Souza et al[7], who subdivided the complications into
three categories of severity: major, i.e. complications
that were definitive or necessitated surgical treatment
for their correction; intermediate, i.e. transitory compli-
cations with complete recovery after clinical treatment;
and lastly, minor, i.e. complications that were resolved
during the surgical procedure; 3) incidence of compi-
lcations over the course of building up the sample
in a learning curve, subdividing the cases into groups
of 50 patients, in order of occurrence of the surgical
procedure.

We used descriptive statistics and Fisher’s exact test
to analyze the data. We considered the results to be
statistically significant when p < 0.05.

This study was approved by the Research Ethics
Committee of Hospital Governor Celso Ramos,
at a meeting held on March 12, 2009, under no.
2008/0038.

RESULTS

We observed a total of 15 cases of complications
(10%) in our series (Table 1). Among these, 10 were
neurological, two were osteoarticular, one was vascu-
lar-ischemic and two were cutaneous. Grouping these
complications according to their severity showed that
three were major, 12 were intermediate and none of
them were minor.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Side</th>
<th>Complication</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Severity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Pudendal neuropraxia</td>
<td>Neurological</td>
<td>Intermediate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Vulval edema</td>
<td>Vascular-ischemic</td>
<td>Intermediate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Dehiscence of suture</td>
<td>Cutaneous</td>
<td>Intermediate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Neuropraxia of pudendum</td>
<td>Neurological</td>
<td>Intermediate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Pudendal neuropraxia</td>
<td>Neurological</td>
<td>Intermediate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Instability</td>
<td>Osteoarticular</td>
<td>Severe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Sciatic neuropraxia</td>
<td>Neurological</td>
<td>Intermediate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Pudendal neuropraxia</td>
<td>Neurological</td>
<td>Intermediate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Permanent paresthesia of lower limbs</td>
<td>Neurological</td>
<td>Severe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>92</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Sciatic neuropraxia</td>
<td>Neurological</td>
<td>Intermediate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Instability</td>
<td>Osteoarticular</td>
<td>Severe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Sciatic neuropraxia</td>
<td>Neurological</td>
<td>Intermediate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>112</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Sciatic neuropraxia</td>
<td>Neurological</td>
<td>Intermediate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>122</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Sciatic neuropraxia</td>
<td>Neurological</td>
<td>Intermediate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>123</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Dehiscence of suture</td>
<td>Cutaneous</td>
<td>Intermediate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Among the major complications, there were two
cases of dysplastic hips that progressed to instability
and were then treated by means of total hip arthroplasty
(osteoarticular complications) and another case that
presented permanent paresthesia of the lower limbs,
without any improvement after two years of follow-up.
(neurological complication). Among the intermediate complications, the most common were neurological, among which perineal neuropraxia occurred most frequently, with eight cases (5.33% of our sample). Also in relation to the intermediate neurological complications, one patient (0.6% of our series) presented neuropraxia of the sciatic nerve, with motor improvement after three days and sensory improvement after four months. There was one case (0.6% of our patients) of vascular-ischemic complication among the intermediate-severity cases, consisting of transitory edema of the vulva. The group of intermediate-severity complications included two cases (1.33% of our cases) of cutaneous complications, consisting of dehiscence of the suture, and these presented improvement after clinical treatment (Figure 1).

We did not observe any cases of deep vein thrombosis, deep infection, labral lesion, chondral lesion or joint capsule injury. Nor were there any cases of breakage of surgical instruments.

Regarding the organic systems affected in each of the groups, we observed that group 1 presented two cases of neurological complications, one of vascular-ischemic complication and one of cutaneous complication. In group 2, there were five cases of neurological complications and two of osteoarticular complications. In group 3, there were three cases of neurological complications and one of cutaneous complication (Figure 2).

**STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**

We divided our series into three groups of 50 patients, according to the order in which the surgical procedure was performed. There were four intermediate complications in each of the groups and three major complications in group 2. We did not find any statistically significant differences between the numbers of complications in groups 1 and 2 ($p = 0.16$) or between groups 2 and 3 ($p = 0.16$). Thus, there were no statistically significant differences in the numbers of complications over the course of our learning curve, even though group 2 had an absolute number of complications (seven) that was greater than in the other two groups (Figure 3).
DISCUSSION

In a review of the literature, McCarthy and Lee\(^2\) cited a complication rate ranging from 0.5 to 5% in hip arthroscopic procedures, while Smart et al\(^3\) presented a rate ranging from 0.5 to 6.4%. Both of these authors stated that neuropraxia was the most common complication. The complications that occur during hip arthroscopy relate mainly to joint traction and construction of ports\(^4\). Rodeo et al\(^5\) showed that neurological lesions occurred through direct injury caused by incorrect location of the ports, excessive traction force or compression from the perineal post.

We noted that 11 of the complications in our series (73.33% of our total) were attributable to traction. One of the cases was considered severe because of the persistence of paresthesia of the lower limbs throughout the follow-up period (two years), even though this patient presented normal electromyography findings. Kim et al\(^6\) reported one case of reflex sympathetic dystrophy following hip arthroscopy that did not present resolution after two years of follow-up.

Another nine patients presented transitory neuropraxia and were considered intermediate in the severity classification because their condition improved with clinical treatment. Funke and Munzinger\(^9\) observed one case of transitory neuropraxia; Sampson\(^10\), in a study on 1,001 patients, presented 20 cases of transient neuropraxia; Griffin and Villar\(^11\), in an analysis on 640 consecutive cases, presented four episodes of transient neuropraxia; Clarke et al\(^6\), among 1,054 consecutive cases, reported four cases of neuropraxia; Souza et al\(^7\) presented five cases of transient neuropraxia; Byrd and Jones\(^12\) observed one case of transitory neuropraxia in a study involving 38 arthroscopic procedures on a sample of 35 patients; Kim et al\(^8\) reported four cases presenting transitory neuropraxia; and Dienst et al\(^13\), in a series of hip arthroscopies in the peripheral compartment without using traction, presented one case of transitory neuropraxia. It is important to emphasize that McCarthy and Lee\(^2\), in their review of the literature signaled that the most important preventive measures for avoiding neurological lesions are correct positioning of the patient and adequate traction.

Another case of complication related to traction that we observed was one of vulval edema, which was our only case of vascular-ischemic complication; this was considered to be of intermediate severity. This event occurred when we had a shortage of the perineal protection foam that we routinely use and therefore used another type of foam for perineal protection. Funke and Munzinger\(^9\) reported a case of hematoma in the labia majora; Clarke et al\(^6\) observed a case of vaginal lesion; Griffin and Villar\(^11\) presented a case of lesion in the vaginal region; Souza et al\(^7\) described an episode of vulval edema that they considered to be an intermediate complication, and a case of partial necrosis of the scrotal skin, which they considered to be a severe complication and corrected by means of plastic surgery.

In our series, we noted that two cases of complications (13.33% of the complications) were related to the surgical ports. These were classified as cutaneous. Both of them presented in the form of dehiscence of the suture, and we classified them as intermediate complications because of their benign evolution after clinical treatment. We did not find any reports of superficial infection in the literature. This may have been because this complication might not be attributed solely to the hip arthroscopy but, rather, to surgical procedures in general. Several authors have reported other intercurrences relating to access ports. Clarke et al\(^6\) observed two cases of bleeding and two cases of hematoma in access ports; Griffin and Villar\(^11\) reported one case of bleeding in an access port and one case of hematoma in the operative wound.

In our study, we did not have any cases of deep infection. Clarke et al\(^6\) reported one case of septic arthritis, in which clindamycin-sensitive \textit{Staphylococcus aureus} was the etiological agent, 26 days after performing hip arthroscopy to treat osteochondromatosis.

We observed two cases of osteoarticular complications (13.33% of our cases) in our study. Both of these were in patients who underwent the arthroscopic procedure because of hip dysplasia. These two patients progressed to instability and then underwent total hip arthroplasty to correct the problem. We believe that neither of these case had an appropriate indication for arthroscopy. Some researchers might consider this to be a poor result and not a complication, and for this reason, such cases may not have been reported in other series.

With regard to osteoarticular complications, Sampson\(^10\) reported three cases of iatrogenic lesions in joint cartilage that were attributed to inappropriate traction: one of avascular necrosis of the femoral head, resulting from a disorder of the medial circumflex femo-
COMPLICATIONS IN HIP ARTHROSCOPY

We did not observe any cases of breakage of surgical instruments in our series. Sampson\textsuperscript{(10)} reported three occurrences of instrument breakage; Griffin and Villar\textsuperscript{(11)} reported two such cases; Clarke \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{(4)} presented two such cases; and Souza \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{(7)} observed two cases of breakage of surgical materials, which they considered to be minor complications because they were resolved during the procedure.

Contrary to what Sampson\textsuperscript{(10)} reported, but corroborating what Souza \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{(7)} observed, we did not recognize any decrease in the complication rate as our sample inclusion continued. This may have been due to the increasing complexity of the arthroscopic procedures performed by our team, despite the evolution of hip arthroscopy techniques and the equipment used for the surgical procedure. Although there were no statistically significant differences in the numbers of complications during our learning curve, the period between cases 51 and 100 presented the greatest absolute number of complications (seven), and three of these were major complications. It seems that the surgeon became more daring and may have inappropriately indicated the technique in some cases, in an attempt to take greater steps towards improving the technique.

Furthermore, few series have included each author’s first cases. In our review, only three papers did so: Sampson\textsuperscript{(10)}, Clarke \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{(4)} and Souza \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{(7)}. In the other series, there is no mention of whether or not the initial cases were included.

Hip arthroscopy is a new surgical tool that has been shown to be safe and effective. With correct indication, well-selected patients and greater experience on the part of the surgeon, the tendency will be for the complication rate to diminish further, thus making this method the best option for treating intra-articular pathological conditions of the hip.

CONCLUSIONS

Hip arthroscopy is a surgical procedure that makes it possible to approach this joint in a minimally invasive manner, but it proceeds with certain complications. These complications are frequently neurological and transitory. They occur mainly because of joint traction that is performed with the aim of increasing the intra-articular space.

Our complication rate did not present any decrease with the evolution of our sample, and is in line with findings in the literature.
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